Architecture of the Complex Formed by Large and Small Terminase Subunits from Bacteriophage P22

Reginald McNulty1†, Ravi Kumar Lokareddy2†, Ankoor Roy2, Yang Yang3, Gabriel C. Lander1, Albert J.R. Heck3, John E. Johnson1 and Gino Cingolani2

1 - Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA
2 - Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Thomas Jefferson University, 233 South 10th Street Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA
3 - Biomolecular Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics, Bijvoet Centre for Biomolecular Research and Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Utrecht, Netherlands Proteomics Center, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence to Reginald McNulty and Gino Cingolani: rmcnulty@scripps.edu; gino.cingolani@jefferson.edu

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015.08.013

Edited by M. F. Summers

Abstract

Packaging of viral genomes inside empty procapsids is driven by a powerful ATP-hydrolyzing motor, formed in many double-stranded DNA viruses by a complex of a small terminase (S-terminase) subunit and a large terminase (L-terminase) subunit, transiently docked at the portal vertex during genome packaging. Despite recent progress in elucidating the structure of individual terminase subunits and their domains, little is known about the architecture of an assembled terminase complex. Here, we describe a bacterial co-expression system that yields milligram quantities of the S-terminase:L-terminase complex of the Salmonella phage P22. In vivo assembled terminase complex was affinity-purified and stabilized by addition of non-hydrolyzable ATP, which binds specifically to the ATPase domain of L-terminase. Mapping studies revealed that the N-terminus of L-terminase ATPase domain (residues 1–58) contains a minimal S-terminase binding domain sufficient for stoichiometric association with residues 140–162 of S-terminase, the L-terminase binding domain. Hydrodynamic analysis by analytical ultracentrifugation sedimentation velocity and native mass spectrometry revealed that the purified terminase complex consists predominantly of one copy of the nonameric S-terminase bound to two equivalents of L-terminase (1S-terminase:2L-terminase). Direct visualization of this molecular assembly in negative-stained micrographs yielded a three-dimensional asymmetric reconstruction that resembles a “nutcracker” with two L-terminase protomers projecting from the C-termini of an S-terminase ring. This is the first direct visualization of a purified viral terminase complex analyzed in the absence of DNA and procapsid.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Viral genome packaging is a complex, non-spontaneous reaction, catalyzed in many double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) viruses by a powerful genome-packaging motor [1–3]. This motor consists of a portal protein, which occupies one of the vertices of the icosahedral procapsid, and a terminase complex that converts ATP hydrolysis into linear translation of dsDNA. In most tailed phages, the terminase complex is formed by two subunits known as small terminase (referred to as S-terminase) and large terminase (referred to as L-terminase), whereas herpesviruses also have a third subunit of unknown function and structure [4]. The ATPase activity necessary to power genome packaging resides in the L-terminase subunit, which binds directly to the portal protein [5]. In contrast, the S-terminase subunit binds packaging initiation sites on the dsDNA (referred to as pac in P22 [6]) to prepare for genome packaging [7] and regulates the ATPase activity of L-terminase [8,9]. These functions are likely to be very important in vivo to sustain the enormous rate of genome packaging, which can be as high as ~2000 bp/s [10].

We previously characterized the L-terminase (499 amino acids, 57.6 kDa [11]) and S-terminase (162 amino acids, 18.6 kDa [7]) subunits of the bacteriophage P22 [12] (Fig. 1a), a prototypical
A member of the Podoviridae family of short tailed bacteriophages. In this phage, S-terminase assembles in solution and in crystals as a hollow nonamer [13–15], similar to the S-terminase of the Siphoviridae SPP1-like phage Sf6 [16]. This oligomer is surprisingly different from the octameric S-terminase of phage Sf6 [17], also a Podoviridae, and the distant Myoviridae T4-like 44RR, which was determined crystallographically as a mixture of undecamer and dodecamers [18]. The way S-terminases bind to DNA varies in different viruses. In P22, all DNA-binding determinants are confined in a C-terminal basic moiety comprising residues 140–162, which also overlaps with the L-terminase binding domain (LBD) [13] (Fig. 1a). In contrast, in phage λ S-terminase (gpNu1) [19] and possibly in Sf6 [20] and T4 [18], DNA binding is thought to occur via an N-terminal winged helix–turn–helix motif. Unlike S-terminases that are highly divergent in sequence, structure and possibly mechanisms of DNA binding [21], all known L-terminase subunits have an N-terminal ATPase domain [22,23] that contains ATP-binding Walker A and B motifs, flexibly linked to a C-terminal RNase H-fold nuclease [24,25] also conserved in Herpesviridae [26,27].

DNA packaging in P22 proceeds by a “headful packing” mechanism, a packaging strategy where the length of the DNA encapsulated inside the

**Fig. 1.** Co-expression and purification of P22 S-terminase:L-terminase complex. (a) Domain organization of P22 S-terminase and L-terminase subunits. (b) Chromatogram of the S-terminase:L-terminase complex purified in the presence of mild detergent, magnesium chloride and glycerol. The S-terminase:L-terminase complex was separated on a Superdex 200 gel-filtration column after o/n digestion with PreScission Protease. Fractions corresponding to the eluted peaks were analyzed by SDS-PAGE (bottom gel) revealing pure S-terminase and L-terminase subunits and free MBP.
The procapsid is determined by the interior volume of the mature phage particle [28,29]. The exact molecular mechanisms by which S-terminase and L-terminase subunits orchestrate headful packaging are poorly understood. It was reported that P22 S-terminase and L-terminase form a complex that can be purified.
from infected cells [30], but the stoichiometry of this terminase complex is unknown. Genetic evidence has shown that the DNA-recognition subunit, S-terminase, binds to packaging initiation sites (pac) [31] in the P22 genome and positions viral dsDNA for the packaging L-terminase subunit, which uses ATP hydrolysis to translocate a single genome copy into an empty procapsid. The substrate for DNA packaging in P22 is a repeating polymer containing up to 10 copies of phage genome, known as concatemer [32]. The L-terminase is thought to oligomerize at the portal vertex, as observed in T4 [22] and T7 [33], in order to facilitate packaging into empty P22 procapsid. The nuclease domain of L-terminase cleaves concatemeric dsDNA at two stages of the packaging reaction. At the beginning of packaging, the terminase makes sequence-specific cleavages in the pac region (referred to as “series initiation cleavage”) to generate a DNA end and initiate a packaging series. The DNA end is then inserted into the procapsid unidirectionally from the initiation cleavage point in an ATP-dependent process catalyzed by the ATPase domain of L-terminase. Upon insertion typically between 102% and 110% of the genome length [34], the headful nuclease of L-terminase cleaves the DNA, releasing the concatemer from the newly filled particle and resulting in dissociation of the terminase complex from the capsid. This enables binding of the tail proteins gp4 [35–39], gp10 [40] and gp26 [40–42] that seal the portal protein and stabilize the genome inside the capsid, followed by the attachment of six copies of the tailspike gp9 [43]. Subsequent packaging events follow sequentially in a processive fashion, and each round of infection results in about 2% of newly replicated particles that carry host DNA instead of the viral chromosome [44].

Despite a growing number of structures of isolated S-terminase and L-terminase subunits, a complete view of a terminase complex is lacking. In this work, we purified the S-terminase:L-terminase complex of bacteriophage P22 and provide a structural characterization of its architecture by identifying biochemical interactions and employing hybrid structural methods.

Results

Purification of a homogeneous complex of P22 S-terminase and L-terminase subunits

L-terminases are intrinsically unstable enzymes, notoriously difficult to purify and prone to aggregation [22,30,33,45,46]. Previous attempts to reconstitute the S-terminase:L-terminase complex of bacteriophage P22 from purified nonameric S-terminase and monomeric L-terminase yielded a heterogeneous mixture [13]. As an alternative approach, we formed the terminase complex in vivo by co-expressing a plasmid encoding maltose binding protein (MBP)-tagged S-terminase and untagged L-terminase in bacteria (Fig. 1b), followed by purification of the S-terminase:L-terminase complex on amylose beads. The bead-immobilized complex was then incubated with 1 mM 5’-adenyllyl-β,γ-imidodiphosphate (AMP-PNP) to stabilize the ATPase domain of L-terminase [30] and MBP cleaved off using PreScission Protease. We found that including traces of the non-ionic detergent n-dodecyl-β-o-maltoside (DDM) during cell lysis, in addition to magnesium chloride and 5% glycerol during purification greatly, reduced the tendency of terminase subunits to aggregate. The purified S-terminase:L-terminase complex migrated on a size-exclusion chromatography column as a monodisperse species with estimated molecular mass of ~300 kDa and was >90% pure by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1b). Unlike individual terminase subunits that are highly susceptible to proteolysis [25], the complex remained stably assembled for days to weeks.

Solution biophysical analysis

To investigate the oligomeric state of the purified S-terminase:L-terminase complex, we subjected this species to analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) sedimentation velocity analysis. Figure 2a shows a typical sedimentation profile of P22 terminase complex obtained in 150 mM sodium chloride, at 10 °C. In a range of concentration between 1 and 10 μM, the complex migrated as a largely homogeneous species characterized by one major component with an apparent sedimentation coefficient (s’) of 7.25 (absolute sedimentation coefficient, S20,w = 9.6S). Conversion of this parameter into molecular mass revealed a molecular mass of ~307 ± 0.5 kDa possibly corresponding to a nonamer of S-terminase (~176 kDa) bound to two copies of L-terminase:AMP-PNP (~176 kDa + 2 × (55.9 + 0.5) = 288.8 kDa) or three copies of L-terminase:AMP-PNP (~176 kDa + 3 × (55.9 + 0.5) = 344.3 kDa). Furthermore, the frictional ratio estimated based on sedimentation data was f/f0 ~ 1.7, suggestive of an elongated molecular assembly. A smaller species (~15% of the total sample) was also observed with a sedimentation coefficient consistent with free L-terminase, possibly resulting from complex dissociation during centrifugation.

The same purified S-terminase:L-terminase complex was also subjected to native mass spectrometry (MS) analysis. In agreement with AUC, we observed charge distributions corresponding to the mass of a single S-terminase:L-terminase complex. No larger aggregated species were observed. The spectrum at m/z 6500–9000 in Fig. 2b originates from one nonamer of the S-terminase bound to different numbers of copies of L-terminase. Up to three
copies of L-terminase were confidently assigned binding to one S-terminase nonamer, based on accurate mass measurement made possibly by using the Orbitrap EMR platform [47]. Nonameric S-terminase and free L-terminase were also observed in the MS, at low abundance (~20%), possibly due to

Fig. 3. Stoichiometric binding of L-terminase to the LBD. (a) Chromatogram of the purified MBP-LBD:L-terminase complex eluted with 10 mM maltose from amylose beads and separated on a Superdex 200 gel-filtration column. Fractions corresponding to the eluted peak were analyzed by SDS-PAGE (bottom gel) revealing a 1:1 stoichiometry of association between L-terminase and MBP-LBD. (b) Sedimentation velocity profiles of the MBP-LBD:L-terminase complex measured in 20 mM Tris–Cl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM DTT, 5% glycerol and 1 mM MgCl2 at 10 °C. Top panel: raw absorbance at 280 nm plotted as a function of the radial position. Data at intervals of 20 min are shown as dots for sedimentation at 40,000 rpm. Middle panel: the residuals between fitted curve and raw data. Bottom panel: the fitted distribution of the apparent sedimentation coefficient (S*) calculated for S-terminase:L-terminase is 4.2S (~90% sample) and 2.7S (~10% sample) corresponding to an estimated molecular mass of ~98.6 kDa and ~42.9 kDa, respectively.
in-source dissociation during electrospray ionization. Thus, the predominant S-terminase:L-terminase complex assembled in vivo and purified in vitro consists of a nonameric S-terminase ring bound to two to three copies of L-terminase.

Stoichiometric binding of L-terminase to S-terminase LBD

The substoichiometric presence of L-terminase in the terminase complex and the small size of
S-terminase LBD (~22 residues) [13] prompted us to investigate if each L-terminase associates with multiple LBDs in the context of an assembled terminase complex. To address this question, we co-expressed in bacteria untagged L-terminase with MBP-tagged LBD (MBP-LBD) and purified milligram quantity of the complex using amylose beads. By size-exclusion chromatography, the MBP-LBD:L-terminase complex migrated as a homogeneous species of ~100 kDa (Fig. 3a), consistent with a heterodimer of the two proteins in 1:1 stoichiometry. A more accurate quantification was obtained by AUC sedimentation velocity, which gave an apparent sedimentation coefficient (s*) for the complex of 4.2S (absolute sedimentation coefficient, S20,ω = 4.4S) (Fig. 3b), corresponding to a mass of 98.6 kDa, unambiguously consistent with one copy of L-terminase:AMP-PNP bound to one copy of MBP-LBD (expected molecular mass of ~98.4 kDa). AUC studies also revealed that the MBP-LBD remains monomeric in solution at all concentrations tested (data not shown). Thus, each copy of L-terminase in the S-terminase:L-terminase complex is likely to associate with only one LBD.

Biochemical mapping of domains involved in terminase subunits association

We used an on-bead assay to determine the domain of L-terminase that associates with S-terminase. GST (glutathione S-transferase)-tagged LBD (GST-LBD) was immobilized on glutathione beads and used to pull down either the full-length L-terminase (FL-L-terminase) or individually purified ATPase or nuclease domains. The ATPase domain alone associated specifically with GST-LBD with comparable avidity as the FL-L-terminase (Fig. 4a, lanes 6 and 3), but it failed to bind to glutathione beads not coupled to LBD (Fig. 4a, lane 7), suggesting that all binding determinants in L-terminase necessary for S-terminase assembly are confined in the N-terminal ATPase domain. In contrast, no binding was observed between L-terminase nuclease domain and GST-LBD (Fig. 4a, lane 9), ruling out the involvement of this domain in terminase subunit assembly.

To further map the region of L-terminase ATPase domain involved in LBD, we made the striking observation that P22 L-terminase contains an N-terminal extension of ~58 amino acids not found in closely related P22-like phages such as Sf6 [17] but conserved in phages whose S-terminase also bears a C-terminal LBD [48]. A homology model of P22 L-terminase revealed that this N-terminal extension folds into a helix-loop-helix, positioned near the ATP binding pocket, whereby the central helix is highly acidic and has propensity to form coiled-coil structures (Fig. 4b). Intrigued by the idea that this moiety in L-terminase represents a dedicated platform for binding to the highly basic S-terminase LBD, we generated a deletion construct of L-terminase lacking residues 1–58 (Δ58-L-terminase) and co-expressed it in bacteria with GST-LBD (Fig. 4c, lane 7). Unlike a positive control of FL-L-terminase (Fig. 4c, lane 4), we found no specific interaction of Δ58-L-terminase with LBD, comparable to a negative control where a lysate expressing FL-L-terminase was passed on uncoupled GST beads (Fig. 4c, lane 10). Thus, S-terminase LBD associates with an N-terminal acidic extension of L-terminase ATPase domain that we will refer to as S-terminase binding domain (SBD) (Fig. 4b).

Visualization of the S:L-terminase complex by transmission electron microscopy

We used single-particle transmission electron microscopy to analyze the S-terminase:L-terminase complex in negative stain (Fig. S1). From a data set consisting of 44 images containing 6562 particles, analysis of the 2D (2-dimensional) class averages via Iterative Stable Alignment and Clustering (ISAC) revealed several orientations of the S-terminase:L-terminase complex (Fig. 5a). Although some heterogeneity was observed, many class averages resemble different views of one S-terminase nonamer bound to two L-terminase molecules. A total of 2062 particles were identified as belonging to the 1S-terminase:2L-terminase species using 3D (3-dimensional) classification analysis with Regularized Likelihood Optimization (RELION). To obtain an asymmetric 3D reconstruction, we used the crystal structure of the S-terminase nonamer low-pass filtered to 60 Å as an initial model (Fig. S2a). In addition to low-pass filtering, this model was unbiased in that it lacked density corresponding to L-terminase and comprised less than a third of the mass of the complex. On the other hand, the S-terminase nanomer was clearly visible by eye in many of the individual complexes making it an excellent initial model. Because of heterogeneity seen in 2D class averages, three classes were used during RELION 3D classification and refinement to separate poor and broken complexes from well-aligned particles. Two classes produced non-interpretable density, while a third showed two “new” elongated densities approximately 100 Å long, not included in the starting model, and presumed to correspond to two L-terminase molecules, beneath the S-terminase ring (Fig. 5b). The L-terminase molecules were oriented with their ATPase domains adjacent to the S-terminase since biochemical analysis described above confirmed that mode of interaction. Overall, the S-terminase:L-terminase complex resembles a “nutcracker,” with two parallel L-terminase molecules positioned just below the mushroom-shaped S-terminase. The complex is approximately 100 Å wide and 150 Å long and presents a central lumen emanating from the S-terminase nonamer, which is readily visible in the reconstruction. The resolution of this reconstruction was estimated to be 30 Å using the FSC = 0.143.
criterion (Fig. S2b) [49]. To validate this reconstruction, we matched the 2D class averages produced by ISAC analysis to corresponding 2D projections of the model obtained by RELION 3D classification and refinement and we show them at corresponding angles in Fig. 5c. This analysis revealed a striking

Fig. 5 (legend on next page)
similarity between the terminase complex visualized on grid and the model generated by RELION.

A pseudo-atomic model of P22 S-terminase:L-terminase complex

Having established the shape of P22 S-terminase:L-terminase complex (Fig. 5b) and knowing the exact domains involved in assembly (Figs. 3 and 4), we next generated a pseudo-atomic model of the terminase complex. A crystal structure of P22 S-terminase lacking the LBD is available [13]. We then modeled the LBD domain (residues 140–162) based on secondary structure prediction as a helix. Similarly, the L-terminase subunit of bacteriophage P22 was modeled based on the ATPase domain of the close relative Sf6 [23] and the crystal structure of P22 nuclease [25] (Fig. 4b). At first, the X-ray model of nanomeric S-terminase was docked into the hollow density orienting the LBDs toward the new density features corresponding to L-terminase (Fig. 6a). In turn, two L-terminases were fit into the ellipsoid density by positioning the ATPase domain proximal to S-terminase and by slightly rotating the ATPase and nuclease domain with respect to each other. These atomic models were then refined as rigid bodies against the electron microscopy (EM) density using Chimera [50]. In the final pseudo-atomic model, the two L-terminase subunits are not parallel but slightly twisted and contact each other at two distinct points, corresponding to ATPase domains and far C-termini of the nuclease domain (indicated by arrows in Fig. 6a).

Attempts to dock dsDNA inside the pseudo-atomic model of P22 terminase complex suggest two possible modes of binding. DNA could fit through the S-terminase hole (Fig. 6b, model 1) and still interact with both domains of the L-terminase, as previously proposed for the L-terminase of phage Sf6 [23]. Alternatively, DNA could be orthogonal to the S-terminase ring going through the nutcracker (Fig. 6b, model 2), similar to the "inchworm" mechanism proposed by Sun et al. for T4 L-terminase [22], which, however, forms pentamers upon binding to procapsid. In both models, the topology of the terminase complex is such that the LBD and L-terminase can simultaneously make contacts with dsDNA.

Discussion

Viral packaging motors are fundamental molecular machines that power the delivery of viral genomes into preformed procapsid shells. Despite growing interest in this field of biology [51], a plethora of individual S-terminase and L-terminase subunit crystal structures [13,16,18,20,22,23,25] and recent advances in single-molecule biophysical analysis of packaging motors [52], not even moderate resolution information exists for a terminase complex. In this study, we purified an in vivo assembled complex of P22 terminase subunits and characterized its architecture using biochemical and hybrid structural methods. We found that P22 terminase subunits assemble preferentially into a stable complex containing one nonameric S-terminase bound to two L-terminase subunits (1S-terminase:2L-terminase). While an assembly containing three copies of L-terminase bound to S-terminase (1S-terminase:3L-terminase) was also observed in gas phase, this oligomer was not significantly populated in solution and on grid, suggesting a transient or unstable complex. Unexpectedly, each copy of L-terminase bound to S-terminase associates with only one LBD, suggesting that the entire nonameric S-terminase is not required to bind L-terminase and LBDs helices not participating in L-terminase binding are possibly available to interact with DNA. Only two L-terminase subunits assemble stably onto S-terminase possibly due to steric hindrance between ATPase domains, although we cannot rule out that transient terminase complexes containing more than two copies of L-terminase also form in solution.

What is the functional role of the 1S-terminase:2L-terminase complex in DNA packaging? A satisfactory answer to this question requires further analysis and will be determined by the ability of the terminase complex to bind to and package dsDNA inside empty procapsids. In analogy to phage λ, P22 1S-terminase:2L-terminase complex could represent just a protomer able to further assemble into larger molecular complexes upon binding to DNA or upon docking to portal protein. Similarly, in λ [45,46], the terminase subunits can be isolated as an ~114.2-kDa "protomer", consisting of one L-terminase (gpA) associated with two S-terminase subunits (gpNu1), which is in slow equilibrium with a heterogeneous 13.3S species of ~530 kDa (the "mix"), consisting of four protomers. In the absence of procapsid and viral DNA, polymorphic assemblies of terminase subunits are able to form because of the lack of assembly restraints dictated by other viral proteins and DNA. We observed these aggregates when P22 terminases were co-expressed and purified.
Fig. 6 (legend on next page)
in the absence of DDM in lysis buffer, and glycerol and magnesium chloride were not maintained throughout the purification (data not shown). A similar polymorphic distribution of recombinant protein is observed for viral portal proteins that assemble with variable stoichiometry in vitro but are always dodemeric in the context of the virion [53–55].

**DNA-dependent stimulation of ATPase activity associated to genome packaging**

In P22 and other phages, the ATPase activity associated with genome packaging is stimulated by the S-terminase subunit, by a mechanism that remains unknown [5,13]. We previously made the unexpected discovery that the S-terminase-dependent stimulation of ATPase activity in P22 is specifically enhanced by the DNA encoding S-terminase [13], suggesting that the terminase and viral DNA assemble into a functional complex in preparation to docking to procapsid. The stoichiometry of the S-terminase:L-terminase complex elucidated in this paper requires that only two of the nine LBDs of S-terminase contact a pair of L-terminase subunits, leaving “unoccupied” seven LBDs. Because LBD is highly basic and recruits both the L-terminase and pac DNA [13], the proposed 9:2 stoichiometry is expected to expose a large number of basic residues at the base of the terminase complex. We propose that P22 S-terminase functions as an “assembly scaffold” to initiate DNA packaging. Using its oligomeric architecture, S-terminase recruits both P22 DNA and L-terminase to form a stable “pre-packaging” complex. In this complex, the S-terminase subunit can simultaneously “recognize” a specific pac site in P22 genome and “present” it to the nucleoside domain of L-terminase that introduces nicks. The proposed role of S-terminase as an “assembly scaffold” for DNA packaging may help reconcile the different oligomeric state of S-terminase observed in different viruses [13]. Oligomeric rings of different stoichiometry would be sufficient to bring viral DNA and L-terminase in close proximity regardless of the exact number of subunits, possibly explaining why S-terminases have evolved as oligomers of different stoichiometry even in similar viruses such as P22 and Sf6. We postulate that a structural rearrangement must occur in the terminase complex to switch from a pre-packaging conformation (possibly bound to DNA) to an active “packaging” state, where L-terminase is oligomerized at the portal vertex [56]. The latter state has been studied in bacteriophages T4 and T7, where a pentameric stoichiometry of L-terminase was reported (see Refs. [22] and [33]). These studies, however, were carried out in the absence of S-terminase whose actual involvement in DNA packaging remains controversial.

In conclusion, this paper presents the first structural characterization of the terminase complex of bacteriophage P22 and sets up the ground for future higher-resolution structural studies by cryo-EM.

**Materials and Methods**

**Molecular biology techniques**

Cloning of the full-length S-terminase (plasmid pMAL-S-terminase) and L-terminase (plasmid pET30b-L-terminase) and L-terminase nuclease domain (residues 289–499) (plasmid pET30b-nuclease) of bacteriophage P22 was previously described [13,14,25]. L-terminase ATPase domain (plasmid pET30b-ATPase) (residues 1–287) was generated by introducing a stop codon at residue 288 of plasmid pET30b-L-terminase. The LBD (residues 140–162 of S-terminase) was amplified by PCR and inserted into a pGEX-6P vector (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) between BamH1 and XhoI sites (plasmid pGEX-S-LBD). MBP-LBD was generated by splicing off residues 1–139 from plasmid pMAL-S-terminase. All constructs of L-terminase were also generated by PCR using as template plasmid pET28-L-terminase.

**Biochemical techniques**

The S-terminase:L-terminase and MBP-LBD:L-terminase complexes were expressed in *Escherichia coli* strain BL21-Al (Life Technologies) by induction at 18 °C for 12–16 h with a final concentration of 0.2% L-arabinose and 0.1 mM IPTG. Cell pellets were dissolved in lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris–Cl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 5% glycerol, 0.1% DDM, 3 mM β-mercaptoethanol and 1.0 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and cells were disrupted by sonication. Terminase complexes were purified on amylose beads (New England Biolabs), and after washing with 500 ml of lysis buffer, the complexes was incubated with 1 mM AMP-PNP (Sigma) and PreScission Protease to cleave off MBP. On the following day, cleaved species coming off beads were further purified on a Superdex 200 16/60 gel-filtration column (GE Healthcare) in GF buffer [20 mM Tris–Cl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 5% glycerol and 3 mM β-mercaptoethanol]. The gel-filtration column was calibrated with molecular weight...
markers as previously described [57]. Isolated S-terminase:L-terminase complex were concentrated to ~10 mg/ml using a 30-MWCO (molecular weight cutoff) ultrafiltration spin column (Vivaspin 20; Sartorius Stedim Biotech GmbH). Pull-down assays were carried out on glutathione beads (GenScript) as previously described [58,59].

**Sedimentation velocity AUC**

AUC analysis was carried out in a Beckman XL-A Analytical Ultracentrifuge operating under velocity sedimentation mode available at the Sydney Kimmel Cancer Center X-ray Crystallography and Molecular Interaction Facility. Purified S-terminase:L-terminase and MBP-LBD:L-terminase complexes dissolved at 0.25 mg/ml in 20 mM Tris–Cl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM DTT, 5% glycerol and 1 mM MgCl₂ were spun at 35,000 rpm and 40,000 rpm, respectively, at 10 °C. Absorbance values at 280 nm were fit to a continuous sedimentation coefficient [c(0)] distribution model in SEDFIT [60].

**Native MS**

Prior to native MS measurement, the purified S-terminase:L-terminase complex was buffer exchanged into 150 mM aqueous ammonium acetate (pH 8.0), by ultrafiltration (Vivaspin 500; Sartorius Stedim Biotech, Germany) with a 10-kDa cutoff. We loaded 1–150 mM aqueous ammonium acetate (pH 8.0), by ultrafiltration spin column (Vivaspin 20; Sartorius Stedim Biotech GmbH). Pull-down assays were carried out on glutathione beads (GenScript) over modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen) over modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen) over modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen) over modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen) over modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen). The sample was analyzed on a modified QTOF-2 (Waters/MS Visions) operated on a positive-ion mode. Xenon was used as collision gas. MS parameters were as follows: backing pressure, 10 mbar; capillary, 1300 V; cone, 60 V; extracted cone, 0 V; pressure in the collision cell, 2 × 10⁻² mbar; collision energy, 30 V. The sample was analyzed on a modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen) over modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen) over modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen) over modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen) over modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen) over modified Exactive Plus EMR Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen). Manual tuning of the voltage offset on the flatapole, transport multipole, ion lenses was used for mass filtering of the incoming protein ions, as previously described [47]. Nitrogen was used for the HCD cell at a gas pressure of 6–8 × 10⁻¹₀ bar. MS parameters were as follows: spray voltage, 1.3–1.4 V; source fragmentation, 30 V; source temperature, 250 °C; collision energy, 40–50 V; resolution at m/z 200, 10,000.

**EM specimen preparations**

Continuous carbon grids were glow discharged, and 3 μl of sample at a concentration of 0.014 mg/ml was applied to the grid for 1 min. The grid was gently blotted and passed through four 50-μl volumes of 2% uranyl formate. Subsequently, the grid was blotted, air-dried and stored under desiccation.

**EM data collection and processing**

Images were acquired using a Tecnai 12 electron microscope operating at 120 kV, with a dose near 20 e⁻/Å² and a nominal range of 1.0–2.0 μm underfocus. The continuous carbon grid areas were targeted using Leginon [63] software at a nominal magnification of 52,000× (pixel size of 0.205 nm). Images were recorded using a 4000 × 4000 Tietz F416 CMOS detector (Fig. S1). Approximately 8623 particles were picked from 44 micrographs using Difference of Gaussians Picker [64]. These particles were subjected to reference-free XMIPP Clustering 2D [65], and well-ordered particles were extracted, resulting in 6562 particles. To obtain the best 2D class averages in the presence of any heterogeneity, we produced the sharpest and best-aligned class averages with the ISAC program [66] (Fig. 5a). Using only the S-terminase nonamer low-pass filtered to 60 Å as an initial model (Fig. S2a), we used 3D classification and subsequent gold standard refinement to obtain an asymmetric reconstruction using RELION (Fig. S2). The resolution of the reconstruction was estimated to be approximately 30 Å using the gold standard FSC = 0.143 criterion (Fig. S2b and c) [49]. The 3D EM map has been deposited to the EMDataBank with accession code EMD-6429.

**Placement of 3D models in EM density**

The crystal structures of S-terminase with modeled extended helices (PDB ID 3P9A) and L-terminase from Sf6 [23] (PDB ID 4IEE) were manually oriented in the EM density based on analysis of 2D class averages and biochemical data shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Subsequently, the models were rigid body refined in the density using the “Filmap” feature in Chimera (Fig. 6).
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